Topology Control Chapter 4



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 4/1

Rating

• Area maturity







• Practical importance



• Theoretical importance

Not really Must have

Overview – Topology Control

- Gabriel Graph et al.
- XTC
- Interference



Topology Control



- Drop long-range neighbors: Reduces interference and energy!
- But still stay connected (or even spanner)

Topology Control as a Trade-Off



 $d(u,v) \cdot t \ge d_{TC}(u,v)$

Conserve Energy Reduce Interference Sparse Graph, Low Degree Planarity Symmetric Links Less Dynamics

Gabriel Graph

- Let disk(*u*,*v*) be a disk with diameter (*u*,*v*) that is determined by the two points *u*,*v*.
- The Gabriel Graph GG(V) is defined as an undirected graph (with E being a set of undirected edges). There is an edge between two nodes u,v iff the disk(u,v) including boundary contains no other points.
- As we will see the Gabriel Graph has interesting properties.





Delaunay Triangulation

- Let disk(*u*,*v*,*w*) be a disk defined by the three points *u*,*v*,*w*.
- The Delaunay Triangulation (Graph) DT(V) is defined as an undirected graph (with E being a set of undirected edges). There is a triangle of edges between three nodes u,v,w iff the disk(u,v,w) contains no other points.
- The Delaunay Triangulation is the dual of the Voronoi diagram, and widely used in various CS areas; the DT is planar; the distance of a path (s,...,t) on the DT is within a constant factor of the s-t distance.



Other planar graphs

- Relative Neighborhood Graph RNG(V)
- An edge e = (u,v) is in the RNG(V) iff there is no node w with (u,w) < (u,v) and (v,w) < (u,v).

- Minimum Spanning Tree MST(V)
- A subset of *E* of *G* of minimum weight which forms a tree on *V*.





Properties of planar graphs

- Theorem 1: $MST(V) \subseteq RNG(V) \subseteq GG(V) \subseteq DT(V)$
- Corollary: Since the MST(V) is connected and the DT(V) is planar, all the planar graphs in Theorem 1 are connected and planar.
- Theorem 2: The Gabriel Graph contains the Minimum Energy Path (for any path loss exponent $\alpha \ge 2$)
- Corollary: $GG(V) \cap UDG(V) \text{ contains the Minimum Energy Path in UDG(V)}$

More examples

- β-Skeleton
 - Generalizing Gabriel (β = 1) and Relative Neighborhood (β = 2) Graph
- Yao-Graph
 - Each node partitions directions in k cones and then connects to the closest node in each cone
- Cone-Based Graph
 - Dynamic version of the Yao Graph. Neighbors are visited in order of their distance, and used only if they cover not yet covered angle



XTC: Lightweight Topology Control

- Topology Control commonly assumes that the node positions are known.
- What if we do not have access to position information?
- XTC algorithm
- XTC analysis
 - Worst case
 - Average case



XTC: lightweight topology control without geometry



XTC Algorithm (Part 2)



XTC Analysis (Part 1)

- Symmetry: A node u wants a node v as a neighbor if and only if v wants u.
- Proof:
 - Assume 1) $u \rightarrow v$ and 2) $u \not\leftarrow v$
 - Assumption 2) $\Rightarrow \exists w$: (i) w \prec_v u and (ii) w \prec_u v

Contradicts Assumption 1)

XTC Analysis (Part 1)

- Symmetry: A node u wants a node v as a neighbor if and only if v wants u.
- Connectivity: If two nodes are connected originally, they will stay so (provided that rankings are based on symmetric link-weights).
- If the ranking is energy or link quality based, then XTC will choose a topology that routes around walls and obstacles.



XTC Analysis (Part 2)

- If the given graph is a Unit Disk Graph (no obstacles, nodes homogeneous, but not necessarily uniformly distributed), then ...
- The degree of each node is at most 6.
- The topology is planar.
- The graph is a subgraph of the RNG.
- Relative Neighborhood Graph RNG(V):
- An edge e = (u,v) is in the RNG(V) iff there is no node w with (u,w) < (u,v) and (v,w) < (u,v).



XTC Average-Case



Unit Disk Graph



XTC

XTC Average-Case (Degrees)



XTC Average-Case (Stretch Factor)



XTC Average-Case (Geometric Routing)



Network Density [nodes per unit disk]

- A graph is k-(node)-connected, if k-1 arbitrary nodes can be removed, and the graph is still connected.
- In k-XTC, an edge (u,v) is only removed if there exist k nodes w₁, ..., w_k such that the 2k edges (w₁, u), ..., (w_k, u), (w₁,v), ..., (w_k,v) are all better than the original edge (u,v).
- Theorem: If the original graph is k-connected, then the pruned graph produced by k-XTC is as well.
- Proof: Let (u,v) be the best edge that was removed by k-XTC. Using the construction of k-XTC, there is at least one common neighbor w that survives the slaughter of k-1 nodes. By induction assume that this is true for the j best edges. By the same argument as for the best edge, also the j+1st edge (u',v'), since at least one neighbor survives w' survives and the edges (u',w') and (v',w') are better.

Implementing XTC, e.g. BTnodes v3



Implementing XTC, e.g. on mica2 motes

- Idea:
 - XTC chooses the reliable links
 - The quality measure is a moving average of the received packet ratio
 - Source routing: route discovery (flooding) over these reliable links only





Topology Control as a Trade-Off





- Problem statement
 - We want to minimize maximum interference
 - At the same time topology must be connected or spanner



Low node degree does not necessarily imply low interference:



Very low node degree but huge interference





... from a worst-case perspective







 All known topology control algorithms (with symmetric edges) include the nearest neighbor forest as a subgraph and produce something like this:





• Interference does not need to be high...

٠





Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 4/30



- LIFE (Low Interference Forest Establisher)
 - Preserves Graph Connectivity

LIFE

- Attribute interference values as weights to edges
- Compute minimum spanning tree/forest (Kruskal's algorithm)

LIFE constructs a minimuminterference forest





- LISE (Low Interference Spanner Establisher)
 - Constructs a spanning subgraph

8 2 5-hop spanner with Interference 7

LISE

 Add edges with increasing interference until spanner property fulfilled

LISE constructs a minimuminterference t-spanner

LocaLISE

Scalability

Constructs a spanner locally

LocaLISE

Nodes collect
(t/2)-neighborhood

- Locally compute interferenceminimal paths guaranteeing spanner property
- Only request that path to stay in the resulting topology

LocaLISE constructs a minimum-interference t-spanner





- LocaLISE (Low Interference Spanner Establisher)
 - Constructs a spanner locally

LocaLISE

Nodes collect
(t/2)-neighborhood

- Locally compute interferenceminimal paths guaranteeing spanner property
- Only request that path to stay in the resulting topology

LocaLISE constructs a minimum-interference t-spanner











Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 4/37

• Already 1-dimensional node distributions seem to yield inherently high interference...



 ...but the exponential node chain can be connected in a better way



Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks – Roger Wattenhofer – 4/38

• Already 1-dimensional node distributions seem to yield inherently high interference...



• ...but the exponential node chain can be connected in a



Node-based Interference Model



- Arbitrary distributed nodes in one dimension
 - Approximation algorithm with approximation ratio in O($\sqrt[4]{n}$)



- Two-dimensional node distributions
 - Randomized algorithm resulting in interference $O(\sqrt{n \log n})$
 - No deterministic algorithm so far...

Open problem

- On the theory side there are quite a few open problems. Even the simplest questions of the node-based interference model are open:
- We are given n nodes (points) in the plane, in arbitrary (worst-case) position. You must connect the nodes by a spanning tree. The neighbors of a node are the direct neighbors in the spanning tree. Now draw a circle around each node, centered at the node, with the radius being the minimal radius such that all the nodes' neighbors are included in the circle. The interference of a node u is defined as the number of circles that include the node u. The interference of the graph is the maximum node interference. We are interested to construct the spanning tree in a way that minimizes the interference. Many questions are open: Is this problem in P, or is it NP-complete? Is there a good approximation algorithm? Etc.